1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	JASON SMITH,	Case No. 17-CV-04030-BLF
12	ŕ	
13	Plaintiff,	PROPOSED ORDER GRANTING DEFENDANTS' MOTION TO CHANGE TIME TO FILE DISPOSITIVE MOTION,
14	<b>v.</b>	NUNC PRO TUNC
15	SHAWN HATTON, et al.,	
16	Defendants.	
17		
18	Defendants Hatton, Khan, Kelley, Brown, Patterson, Salas, and Rodriguez moved to change	
19	the time, nunc pro tunc, by three days, to file a reply. Having read and considered Defendants'	
20	motion to change time and the declaration of Defendants' counsel supporting the motion, and for	
21	good cause appearing, Defendants' motion is <b>GRANTED</b> .	
22	Defendants' reply is considered timely filed as of May 17, 2018. Defendants do not need to	
23	refile their reply brief.	
24	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
25		Both Lalem Theeman
26	Dated: 6/28/2018	<u> </u>
27		The Honorable Beth Labson Freeman United States District Court Judge
28		